

## ACME TOWNSHIP NEW URBANISM CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE Monday, February 20, 2006, 1:00 p.m. Acme Township Hall 6042 Acme Road, Williamsburg MI 49690,

Meeting called to Order at 1:10 p.m.

Members present: M. Krakow & L. Craig (Co-Chairs), D. Krause, D. Rohn, N.

Veliquette

**Ex-Officio Members present:** A. Andres, Sr., A. Andres, Jr., L. Bussa, J. Goss, **Ex-Officio Members absent:** L. Grant, S. Nowakowski, R. Reinhold, S. Smith Staff present: S. Corpe, Township Manager/Recording Secretary

N. Edwardson, Recording Secretary

Krakow mentioned learning today that Bussa did not know about last Friday's meeting. Krakow apologized for the miscommunication, which was unintentional.

Krakow noted that the minutes are not, and are not intended to be, transcriptions. They are a record of what occurred as perceived by the minute-taker.

October 28, 31, minutes were approved and may not be changed.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Rohn to approve the November 4, 2005, meeting minutes. Motion carried unanimously.

Veliquette pointed out two corrections in the November 7, 2005, meeting minutes. Typo p2, Paragraph 2, sentence 2. the word litigation spelled wrong.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Rohn to approve the November 7, 2005, meeting minutes as amended, Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Rohn to approve the November 11, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Craig to approve the November 14, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Veliquette. Seconded by Craig to approve the November 18, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Craig. Seconded by Krause to approve the November 21, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Veliquette. Seconded by Rohn to approve the Novemner 28, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Rohn to approve the December 5, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Veliquette pointed out two typos in the December 12, 2005, meeting minutes. First paragraph, second sentence should be "is" not "are". Page 2, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph, first sentence, "responed" changed to "responded".

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Veliquette to approve the December 12, 2005, meeting minutes as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Veliquette. Seconded by Rohn to approve the December 16, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Veliquette. Seconded by Krause to approve the December 23, 2005, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Craig to approve the January 4, 2006, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Veliquette. Seconded by Rohn to approve the January 6, 2006, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Veliquette recommended striking duplicated areas in the January 11, 2006, meeting minutes.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Craig to approve the January 11, 2006, meeting minutes as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

January 20, 2006, meeting minutes were not available. Minutes need to be written up when found.

Motion by Veliquette. Seconded by Krause to approve the January 23, 2006, meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

January 26, 2006, UDA interview were accidentally overwritten during formatting. They will need to be redone.

Motion by Krause. Seconded by Craig to approve the January 30, 2006. meeting minutes as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

There is no audio recording of the January 31, 2006, meeting. The external microphone plugged into the digital recorder was inadvertently not turned on. The township is not required by law to do audio recordings.

Andy Andres, Sr., asked that it be added to the minutes that when he brought up Andres Jr. having created a potential design, Corpe stated she had seen the plan and that it was one-sided. The amendment was made.

Andy Andres, Jr., looking at the bottom of page 2 and top of page 3. He is concerned that it be clear that the comments made were an indication that the Andres felt that DPZ might too easily dismiss the public's input based on what was said during their interview. They did not intend or mean to imply that the Andres family does not find public input important. The passage was left as is.

Second paragraph – Krakow stated the comments do not reflect any side of conversation; it was part of a larger conversation about whether the absence of certain parties would delay a decision.

## Motion by Krause. Seconded by Rohn to approve the January 31, 2006, meeting minutes as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

Krakow stated that because there was no input from the developers to be had on January 31, 2006, the meeting to discuss the applicants was rescheduled to February 17, 2006, The advisory was pleased that Goss, Nowakowski and Smith attended.

Goss pointed to page 4, paragraph 3 that said he was present for the discussions. This was changed to "informal morning sessions."

Veliquette pointed to page 6, second paragraph, second sentence, word "transsect" should be "transect" and also last paragraph second sentence from the last "mess" should be "mesh".

Krakow pointed to page 7, paragraph 3 the word "stay" should be "say". Correction made. In this same sentence Krakow also asked about when he would have used the word "required", but nobody could recall in what context during the conservation the word had been used. Krakow had hoped to know how he used the word, Andres, Jr, would need to listen to the recording. Krause stated the Board of Trustees did require the advisory to come up with a recommendation. Krakow stated he was asked to devote time to this project but does not believe he has been compelled to do anything.

Andres, Sr., stated that he had hoped to have recordings to compare to the minutes, but understands a computer breakdown caused a delay.

## Motion by Krause. Seconded by Craig to approve the February 17, 2006, meetings as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

Veliquette commented now that we have selected RTKL how should we present to the Board? Krause said as a Board member he would like to know about the process. How did the committee arrive at decision to select RTKL? Veliquette and Bussa agreed. There will be a presentation to the Board at the March meeting.

Krause thanked the committee for such a fine job. He was very proud of what they had accomplished. The Board had presented them with a very difficult task. But in the end three of the best firms in the United States had come to Acme Township and gave presentations. He thanked Krakow and Craig for the fine leadership.

Meeting adjourned at 2:50 p.m.